



On Wafer S-Parameters & Uncertainties

Dr. Andrej Rumiantsev

Director RF Technologies MPI Corporation



READY FOR "THE TEST"





visit: www.mtt-archives.org/~mtt11



A.Rumiantsev ARFTG86th-NIST SC-2015





Outline

- Introduction
- Criteria for the "right method"
- Calibration residual errors
- Conclusion



The Last Inch to the DUT



...leads to a different world



N



Coaxial Calibration Standards



Picture: Spinner Group

- Same media
- Well-defined electrical characteristics at the calibration plane





Wafer-Level Standards

			Tek
i Bien 💼 iens	1 Biac 🛄 1908 1	I Bier in inr I I	1 Sies 🖬 Leng 1
1 SI 1 1 SB 1	1 E 150 E 150 E 1	1 S 15E E 15E 8 1	1 2 120 [1 120]
288 C 288	1 3 28C 📑 28D 🗐	285 28F	Bans 🔤 20H 🗐
258 🖸 258	2 25C 250 E	325E 25E	1 3 250 - 250 -
05	E E E E E		E B + B = B = E Rite
R25	RS		APP
	🗆 LT 😐		
C LT .		🗉 LT 😐	
Lz	••• ••••	E	
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	

- Coplanar design:
   Dispersion
- Not shielded:
  - Coupling and radiation
- Manufacturing
   inaccuracy

Picture: FBH, Berlin



## Wafer-Level Standards (cont.)



Two discontinuities:

- Coaxial media to planar probe tips
- Probe tips to coplanar devices (standards)

Picture: FBH, Berlin

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Outline

- Introduction
- Criteria for the "right method"
- Calibration residual errors
- Conclusion

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Calibration and Self-Calibration

- Calibration methods: known standards – SOL, SOLT, QSOLT
- Self-calibration standards:
   Reflect, reciprocal thru, line
- Solution criteria:
  - Frequency range
  - Self-calibration methods with optimal set of standards

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Example: SOLT

Standard	Requirements	Typical Definition	Error Terms
Short	Fully known	R=0; L=9pH	2
Open	Fully known	R=inf; C=0.3fF	2
Load	Fully known	R=50; L=10.6pH	2
Thru	Fully known	Z ₀ =50Ω α=0, τ=1ps	4

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Open/Short are not Ideal**

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Open/Short are not Ideal**

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Test DUT: SOLT

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Calibration Outcome**

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

Removes systematic errors:

- Shifts the reference plane
- Defines reference impedance
   Z_{REF}

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

$$S_{ii} = \frac{b_i}{a_i} = \frac{Z_{DUT} - Z_{REF}}{Z_{DUT} + Z_{REF}}$$

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Reference Impedance: Lumped Methods**

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### • $Z_{REF}$ is defied by the load $Z_{LOAD}$

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Calibration Plane: Middle of the Thru

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

### • Thru must be fully known – crucial!

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Calibration Challenges at mm-Wave Frequencies

- Probe tip Load:
  - Less space on the calibration substrate
  - More elements on the same size
- Acceptable compromize for lowfrequency measurements
- Load impedance at mm-wave range?
- Calibration accuracy above 110 GHz?

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Calibration Challenges at mm-Wave Frequencies

- Accurate definition of the equivalent impedance requires uniformed structure of the E/M waves at the reference point
- Where is the point of the uniformed waves?

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Calibration Challenges at mm-Wave Frequencies

- Away from the probe tips:
  - Transition to CPW complete
  - Uniformed fields
  - Well-established traveling waves
  - Well-defied reference impedance!

- At the probe tip:
  - Transition point from tip to standard
  - Discontinuity
  - Not-uniformed fields
  - Load impedance??? (undefined!)

![](_page_18_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Solution for Calibration at mm-Wave Frequencies

- Move load away from the probe-tips.
- However:
  - Load with long offset impractical
  - How to define its equivalent impedance in wide frequency range?
- Solution: NIST multiline TRL
  - Load not required!
  - Requires just sections of transmission lines
  - Calibration standards easy to make on-wafer

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Calibration reference impedance is the characteristic impedance of the line
- Lines are dispersive

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Dispersion Phenomenon**

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

- S-parameters:
  - $Z_{REF} = Z_{LINE}$
- Pseudo S-parameters:
  - Z_{REF}=50 Ω

Useful reading: D. Williams, "Traveling waves and power waves", Microwave Magazine, Nov-Dec 2013.

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Distributed Standard-Based Methods: TRL and mTRL

- Transformation to 50  $\Omega$  reference impedance required
- Measurement of line characteristic impedance

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

A. Rumiantsev, "On-Wafer calibration techniques enabling accurate characterization of high-performance silicon devices at the mm-wave range and beyond," Fakultät für Maschinenbau, Elektrotechnik und Wirtschaftsingenieurwesen, BTU Cottbus, Cottbus, 2014.

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

Off-wafer standards

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

On-wafer: CMOS

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **On-wafer: BiCMOS**

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

NL

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **On-Wafer Cal: Distributed Standards**

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

Top metal

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **On-Wafer Cal: Lumped Standards**

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Outline

- Introduction
- Criteria for the "right method"
- Calibration residual errors
- Conclusion

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Calibration

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

NI

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Example: MAG 2x25µm Cascode Cell

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

Shinghal, P.; Sloan, R.; Duff, C.I.; Cochran, S., "Observations on the sensitivity of on-wafer cascode cell S-parameter measurements due to probing uncertainties," ARFTG Microwave Measurement Conference (ARFTG), 2014 83rd, vol., no., pp.1,3, 6-6 June 2014

MPI Company Confidential

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Sources of Calibration Residual Errors

- Inaccuracies of calibration standards
  - Design, fabrication and probe positioning
- Higher-order mode propagation

   Calibration standards and DUT
- Coupling with nearby structures
  - Between elements
  - RF probes and elements
- Limitations of calibration methods

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

### (Co)Planar Calibration Standards

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Dispersion
- Manufacturing inaccuracy
- Probe positioning

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Dispersion: Example for AC-2

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

MPI Probe Selection Guide. MPI Corporation, Hsinchu, Taiwan, 2014

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Operating with Multiple Lines**

- Multiline TRL definition:
  - Offset of each line vs. Thru.
  - Just physical length, not electrical parameters needed
- Wafer-level multiline TRL system requirements:
  - Motorized positioner(s)
  - Typical positioning error +/- 5 micrometers
  - Painful investment

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Minimize Positioning Error

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

Picture: MPI

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

- Positioning resolution 1µm
- Set/adjust/measure ∆/ for multilne TRL

![](_page_35_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Membrane CPW Design for Improved Line Characteristics

#### Conventional CPW

![](_page_36_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Membrane CPW

![](_page_36_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

U. Arz, M. Rohland, and S. Büttgenbach, Improving the Performance of 110 GHz Membrane-Based Interconnects on Silicon: Modeling, Measurements, and Uncertainty Analysis, in *EEE Trans. on Components, Packaging and Manufacturing Tech.*, vol. 3, No. 11, Nov. 2013

![](_page_36_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Comparison for Relative Phase Constant**

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

U. Arz, M. Rohland, and S. Büttgenbach, Improving the Performance of 110 GHz Membrane-Based Interconnects on Silicon: Modeling, Measurements, and Uncertainty Analysis, in *EEE Trans. on Components, Packaging and Manufacturing Tech.*, vol. 3, No. 11, Nov. 2013

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **CPW Boundary Conditions**

- Multi-mode propagation at mm-wave frequencies
- Critical for every calibration method

![](_page_38_Figure_5.jpeg)

Courtesy: FBH, Berlin

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Optimized Chuck Material**

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

Picture: MPI

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

A. Rumiantsev, R. Doerner, and E. M. Godshalk, "The influence of calibration substrate boundary conditions on CPW characteristics and calibration accuracy at mm-wave frequencies," *ARFTG Microwave Measurements Conference-Fall, 72nd,* pp. 168-173, 2008.

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Coupling with Nearby Structures

- Ex-field in the CPW
- CPW mode in the neighborhood

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

V. Krozer, R. Doerner, A. Rumaintsev, N. Weinmann, F. Schmückle, and W. Heinrich, On-Wafer Small- and Large- Signal Measurement Systems at sub-THz Frequencies, BCTM-2014, pp. 1-8, 2014.

![](_page_40_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Higher-Order Modes

- Coupling between probe ground and onwafer ground:
  - Parasitic propagating signal
- Parallel-plate mode in substrate:
  - CPW ground and the backside ground
- Other modes guiding:
  - Between coaxial probe ground and wafer backside metallization

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Coupling and Higher Modes**

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

G.N. Phung, F.J. Schmückle, W. Heinrich, "Parasitic Effects and Measurement Uncertainties in Multi-Layer Thin-Film Structures," Proc. 43th European Microwave Conf. (EuMC 2013), Nuremberg, Germany, Oct. 7-10, pp. 318-321

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

CST

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Coupling and Higher Modes: Microstip Elements

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

G.N. Phung, F.J. Schmückle, W. Heinrich, "Parasitic Effects and Measurement Uncertainties in Multi-Layer Thin-Film Structures," Proc. 43th European Microwave Conf. (EuMC 2013), Nuremberg, Germany, Oct. 7-10, pp. 318-321

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

### 110 GHz RF Probe: Similar Concept, Different Tip Design

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

A. Rumiantsev and R. Doerner, "RF probe technology," Microwave Magazine, IEEE, vol. 14, pp. 46-58, 2013.

MPI Company Confidential

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Impact of the Probe Tip Design

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

A. Rumiantsev, R. Doerner, Method for Estimating Probe-Dependent Residual Errors of Wafer-Level TRL Calibration, ARFTG-83rd, 2014.

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Worst-Case Estimate: Error for Four Probe Technologies

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

Company Confidential

A.Rumiantsev ARFTG86th-NIST SC-2015

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Outline

- Introduction
- Criteria for the "right method"
- Calibration residual errors
- Conclusion

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Conclusion

- Calibration residual errors have complex nature
- Contributing factors:
  - Design of standards
  - Probe type
- Solutions for reference CPW line available
- Design optimization of the calibration chip:
  - Reduce support of higher-order modes
  - Minimize coupling effects

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

# For more information, please visit: www.mpi-corporation.com

![](_page_50_Picture_4.jpeg)

READY FOR "THE TEST"